



GB03/02795  
23 DEC 2003  
INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

REC'D 13 AUG 2003	
WIPO	PCT

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

## PRIORITY DOCUMENT


SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed

*Stephen Hendley*

Dated 15 July 2003

## PRIORITY DOCUMENT

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)


**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

The  
Patent  
Office

1/77

**Request for grant of a patent**

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)



The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

1. Your reference

11050P4 GB2/CMB

2. Patent application number  
(The Patent Office will fill)

0219800.0

27AUG02 E743649-1 D02903  
P01/7700 0.00-0219800.0

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

Reckitt Benckiser N.V.  
Kantoorgebouw De Appelaer  
Fruittuinen 2-12  
2132 NZ Hoofddorp  
NETHERLANDS

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

07921075005

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

Netherlands

4 Title of the invention

Detergent Composition

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

Craig M Bowers

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

Reckitt Benckiser plc  
Group Patents Department  
Dansom Lane  
HULL  
HU8 7DS  
UNITED KINGDOM

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

07799521001

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number  
(if you know it)

Date of filing

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application  
(day / month / year)

Date of filing

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
  - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
  - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

Yes

**Patents Form 1/77**

9. **Enter** the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	20
Claim(s)	4
Abstract	1
Drawing(s)	-

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents  
Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)

Request for preliminary examination and search (*Patents Form 9/77*) One

Request for substantive examination (*Patents Form 10/77*) One

Any other documents (*please specify*) FS2

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

Craig M.Bowers

23 August 2002

12. Name and daytime telephone number of Person to contact in the United Kingdom

Craig Bowers (01482) 583730

**Warning**

*After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.*

**Notes**

- 1) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- 2) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- 3) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- 4) If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- 5) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- 6) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patents Office.

### DETERGENT COMPOSITION

The present invention relates to a detergent composition, more particularly to a detergent composition comprising an enzyme wherein the enzyme is stabilised by a stabilisation means.

Enzymes find increasing use in detergents as a result of their ability to aid the removal of organic soils and stains from domestic articles. Enzymes are especially useful in the dispersion of food stains on clothing and cooking/eating utensils. Typical enzymes employed in this fashion include proteases to aid the removal of proteins and amylases, which act upon starch.

Unfortunately enzymes in detergent formulations, especially water-based formulations normally exhibit very poor stability. This problem is especially true at elevated temperatures and under the presence of UV light. Attempts to address this disadvantage in water based gel detergent formulations have included the application of known technologies such as increasing the ionic strength of a water based gel containing enzymes often in combination with addition of a stabilising agent (such as borate). However, a substantial deterioration of enzymes is still observed.

We have now found that the enzyme stability in such systems can be increased to a surprisingly high level when the enzymes are partially encapsulated and the so formed particles are then added to a gel in which the particles have limited mobility.

According to a first aspect of the present invention there is provided a detergent composition comprising an enzyme which is at least partially disposed within water-soluble particles in a gel, the particles comprising a water-soluble encapsulating agent, wherein the particles have a migration speed in the gel of less than one centimetre per month.

It has been found that as a result of the low motility of the particles, the particles once dispersed in the gel, remain dispersed therein, even after long periods of storage. Thus the problems of particle interaction and damage, as a result of particle congregation at or near an upper or lower portion of the gel are overcome.

Additionally as the particles remain evenly dispersed, even over prolonged periods of storage, the user can be sure when measuring / dispensing an amount of the detergent gel, that it contains the correct (rather than an excessive or insufficient) amount of particles (and associated enzyme). Furthermore the correct level of dispense may be achieved without the need to shake or otherwise agitate the gel which could otherwise cause detrimental particle deterioration.

Also, even though the enzyme is protected in storage in the particles, the particles are quickly disintegrated in use in a wash liquor (by virtue of the water-soluble encapsulating agent), thus allowing the enzyme to perform its function without delay.

Thus the current invention has been found to provide an enzyme containing detergent gel composition which displays surprisingly good enzyme stability during storage, whilst also ensuring a quick and efficient release of enzyme in use.

Preferably the migration speed of the particles is less than 0.7 cm per month and most preferably less than 0.4 cm per month.

Without wishing to be bound by theory the migration speed of the particles may be measured by the following preferred, yet non-limiting method.

The particles are dispersed in the gel and the gel is placed in a closed glass bottle (capacity 50ml, width 3.5cm). A picture is taken (Canon Powershot 30S camera, with the distance lens-bottle being 50cm). The bottle is stored for 30 days at 25°C. A second picture is taken from the glass bottle and the locations of the particles are compared. Changes of location (Migration distance of particle on picture =  $D_p$ ) are recorded in cm. The migration distance  $D_r$  of an individual particle is determined

according to the following formula, which overcomes any parallax error introduced by the picture taking process.

$$D_r = H_r \times D_p / H_p$$

$H_p$  = Bottle height on picture

$H_r$  = Real bottle height

$D_p$  = Migration distance of particle on picture

$D_r$  = Real migration distance of particle

The result is taken from the average migration distance of 20 particles.

The preferred migration speed of the particles within the gel is preferably achieved by at least one of gel viscosity, gel density and particle density.

The gel preferably has a viscosity of greater than 4000 mPas, preferably greater than 6000 mPas, most preferably more than 10000 mPas. The viscosity was measured with a Brookfield RVT, spindle 27, 2.5rpm at 25°C.

In order to achieve this viscosity the gel preferably contains a thickening agent. The thickening agent may be present in an amount of from 0.1% to 5% of the composition, more preferably between 0.5% to 2% and most preferably between 1% and 1.5% (e.g. such as 1.25%).

Preferred examples of thickening agents include polymeric substances which can function as viscosity enhancers and also add to cleaning performance characteristics. Exemplary of such polymeric compositions are polyacrylic acid, polymethacrylic acid, acrylic/methacrylic acid copolymers, hydrolyzed polyacrylamide, hydrolyzed polymethacrylamide, hydrolyzed polyacrylonitrile and hydrolyzed polymethacrylonitrile. These polymeric substances may be in the form of simple linear or branched polymers / co-polymers and / or may be cross-linked. Water

soluble salts or partial salts of these polymers may be used. Most preferred polymeric substances are sold under the trademark Polygel DA (available from BASF), which is a polyacrylic acid having a molecular weight greater than 1,000,000, and also Carbopol 941 (available from B F Goodrich), also a polyacrylic acid having a molecular weight greater than 1,000,000.

Xanthan gum, either alone or in combination with a polymeric thickening agent may be employed as a thickener.

The gel preferably has a density of more than  $1.1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , more preferably more than  $1.2 \text{ g/cm}^3$  and most preferably more than  $1.4 \text{ g/cm}^3$ .

The gel is preferably transparent. Transparent in this context means that particles which are covered by a gel layer of 1cm are still visible under normal daylight conditions.

Alternatively the gel may have a higher water content with a high ionic strength to prevent the particles from deteriorating in storage. Preferably the water content of the gel is from 5 to 65%, more preferably from 20 to 65% and most preferably from 35 to 65% (e.g. about 60%); the high ionic strength is preferably provided by a salt content which comprises at least 70%, more preferably at least 80% and most preferably at least 90% of the solid content (the non-aqueous component) of the gel.

Preferred examples of salts include carboxylates and hydroxycarboxylates such as citrate, maleate, tartrate, isocitrate or tri-hydroxyglutarate. Generally the salts are alkali metal salts, especially sodium and potassium. When present in the amounts specified above these salts have been found to provide excellent builder performance. It is most preferred that the salt is a citrate salt.

The gel composition comprises an enzyme in an effective amount in the range of from about 0.05% to about 5%, preferably from about 0.5% to about 2%, by weight of the composition. Preferably the major part of the enzyme is present in the gel with

a smaller part present in the particles. In this regard a suitable ratio of enzyme present in the gel versus enzyme present in the particles would be between 5:1 and 20:1, with 8:1 to 15:1 being more preferred.

The enzymes suitable for use in the compositions include protease and amylase enzymes.

The protease enzymes suitable for the present compositions include the various commercial liquid enzyme preparations which have been adapted for use in association with detergent compositions. Enzyme preparations in powdered form are also useful although, as a general rule, less convenient for incorporation into liquid compositions. Suitable liquid enzyme preparations include "Alcalase", "Savinase", and "Esperase", all trademarked products sold by Novo Industries, Copenhagen, Denmark, and "Maxatase", "Maxacal", and "AZ-Protease" and "Propease" sold by Gist-Brocades, Delft, The Netherlands.

Among the suitable amylase enzymes are those sold by Novo Industries and Gist-Brocades under the tradenames "Termamyl" and "Maxamyl", respectively; also those sold by Genencor under the Tradenames 'Purastar'.

Mixtures of different enzymes can and often are used to assist in removal of different types of stains. A portion of each enzyme may be disposed within the water-soluble particles.

In this regard a particular advantage of the present invention is that it allows formulation of a detergent gel composition containing two or more antagonistic enzymes. In this context antagonistic implies that one enzyme would upon contact ordinarily cause / be involved in the deterioration of one or more other enzymes present in the detergent gel, possibly together with itself.

This may be achieved by separate encapsulation of one or more of the enzymes within particles in the detergent gel. Namely, (explained with reference to a 2-



enzyme containing system) two options are available. In the first option each enzyme may be encapsulated so that whilst the particles are intact interaction of the two enzymes is not possible. In the alternative, only one of the enzymes need be encapsulated to prevent contact.

In the case where a first enzyme is deteriorated by a second it is preferably to contain the first enzyme in the detergent gel and the second enzyme within the particles. In this arrangement the first susceptible enzyme has an opportunity in use after release to carry out its function, before the second enzyme is released from the water-soluble particle, i.e. before the second enzyme is able to detrimentally affect the first enzyme.

For example starch digesting enzymes such as amylase are usually deteriorated by protein digesting enzymes (proteases) on long-term storage. To address this problem, and using the present invention the amylase may be contained in the gel and the protease within the particles. This concept could of course also be applied in the reverse, wherein the protease is in the gel and amylase is in the particles.

Furthermore due to the nature of the gel an enzyme released prematurely from, for example, a leaking particle is kinetically hindered by the viscous nature of the gel. Thus destructive interaction with its antagonist is at least partially hindered.

The composition preferably comprises an amylase and / or a protease, to aid soil removal. Any of the encapsulation scenarios described in the paragraphs above is contemplated when both enzymes are present.

To further enhance the stability of the encapsulated enzyme a stabilising aid may be present, in the particles and / or in the gel.

Without wishing to be bound by theory it is proposed that the stabilising aid enhances the stability of the enzyme by "blocking" the active site thereof whilst the enzyme is encapsulated in the particle. As soon as the enzyme is dispersed in use

(e.g. in a wash liquor) the stabilising aid is most preferably dispersed in the liquor. Thus the active site of the enzyme is free to act.

A stabilising aid is preferably present in the gel in an amount of from 0.05 to 20% (expressed as a percentage based upon the whole composition), more preferably 0.05 to 10%, more preferably 0.05 to 5% and most preferably 0.05 to 3%. A stabilising aid is preferably present in the particles in an amount of from 40 to 70% of the weight of the particles.

A preferred example of a stabilising aid for the gel is a water-miscible organic solvent. Such solvents include C<sub>1-8</sub> linear / branched alkanols; such as ethanol, isopropanol and butanol; and glycols such as ethylene glycol, propylene glycol and hexylene glycol. A particularly preferred solvent is propylene glycol. When the particularly preferred solvent (propylene glycol) is used it is preferably present in an amount of 0.05 to 2% by weight of the composition.

Further examples of stabilising aids for the gel include soluble calcium salts, such as calcium chloride. When a calcium salt is used it is preferably present in an amount of 0.05 to 5% by weight of the composition, more preferably 0.1 to 3%, more generally 0.2 to 2%, more preferably 0.4 to 1% most preferably about 0.5%.

Preferred examples of a stabilising aid for the particles include sugars and starches.

The particles are non-soluble in the gel during storage but disintegrate when the gel is exposed to the conditions of a laundry or dishwashing process. A typical dilution of the gel containing such particles in such process is 15-200 g, more preferred 20-150g most preferred 25-50g of gel in a wash water amount of 4-15L, more preferred 4-8 L.

The particles comprise a water-soluble encapsulating agent. Water-soluble is herein defined when greater than 90% of 1g of such material (in granular form having a

particle size from 50-200 $\mu$ m) dissolves after 40 min in a beaker containing 1 L of de-ionised water at 40°C which is stirred with a stirrer revolving at 200 r.p.m.

The encapsulating agent may comprise a coating for the particles. Alternatively the encapsulating agent may comprise a portion of the core of the particle.

In the first case (where the encapsulating agent is a coating) the encapsulating agent may comprise 2-15% by weight, more preferably 2-10% by weight of the particle.

In the second case (where the encapsulating agent comprises a portion of the core of the particle) it is preferred that the encapsulating agent defines a matrix, within which any other components of the particle may be disposed. In this case the encapsulating agent may comprise at least 10% by weight and more preferably at least 20% by weight of the particle.

Most preferably the encapsulating agent comprises a coating.

Preferably the particles comprise a UV absorbing substance. Most preferably the UV absorbing substance is contained in the coating of this particle. A preferred example of a UV absorbing substance is Titanium Dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>).

The encapsulating agent may contain a plasticiser. Preferred plasticisers include polyglycols and non-ionic surfactants.

Preferably the encapsulating agent is a cellulose derivative or a polyvinylalcohol derivative or a combination thereof.

The preferred density of the particles is expressed relative to that of the gel. The gel and the particles have a preferred difference in density no greater than 0.9 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, more preferably no greater than 0.6 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and most preferably no greater than 0.3 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

In order to achieve the desired density difference between the gel and the particles, the particles may incorporate a density aid. Preferred examples of density aids include titanium dioxide and calcium salts.

As pure enzymes typically have a dark brown colour, which is usually not appealing to a consumer, a pigment or a dye is generally included in the particles to make them more aesthetically appealing. Preferred examples of pigments include titanium dioxide and calcium salts (both of which provide a white coloration).

As can be seen it has been found that titanium dioxide and / or calcium salts can play a multiple number of roles in the particles (including stabilising agent, density aid and pigment).

The particles have a granule size distribution in which more than 80% of the particles are of the particle size from 50-1000 $\mu$ m, more preferably from 200-800 $\mu$ m and most preferably from 400-700 $\mu$ m.

The particles preferably have a spherical shape. Most preferably the particles are dispersed evenly throughout the gel composition. When being dispersed, it will be appreciated that low shear methods are employed.

The particles may contain other detergent constituents, which are non-aggressive to the enzyme, such as a citrate salt.

Preferably the particles comprise 0.1 to 5.0 weight %, more preferably 0.3 to 3.0 weight % and most preferably 0.5 to 2.0 weight % of the detergent composition.

The detergent composition is intended for use in dishwashing (both manual and automatic, most preferably automatic) and / or laundry applications.

The detergent composition may contain from about 0.05% to about 5% of a surfactant. Preferably the surfactant is non-ionic. A preferred example of a non-ionic surfactant is a block copolymer of a C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> alcohol alkoxyated with an alkylene oxide. Without wishing to be bound by any scientific theory, it is believed that this ingredient acts both to improve the enzyme stability system and also to aid in stain removal. A wide variety of alkoxyated alcohols are known to the art and these vary considerably in HLB (hydrophile-lipophile balance). For purposes of this invention, it is preferable to employ an alkoxyated alcohol which is relatively hydrophobic, having a HLB in the range of 3 to 5. Preferred surfactants are propanol propoxyated with propylene oxide (block-wise) and then ethylene oxide (block-wise). Such a polymer is commercially available under the Tradename LF 500 (available from BASF).

To bring the pH to within the desired range of 7.0 to 8.5, a sufficient amount of alkali hydroxide, preferably sodium hydroxide, is added.

The detergent composition may also include the usual additives usually present in compositions of this type provided, of course, that they do not detract from enzyme stability. Such additives include perfumes, dyes, preservatives, antibacterial agents, fluorescent whitening agents, and pigments.

Suitable preservatives include isothiazolinones sold under the trademark Kathon (available from Rohm & Haas).

We have also found that high enzyme stability in water-based detergent composition may be achieved by the use of a high content of ionic salt, even in the absence of recognised stabilisation aids, such as borate. Thus in accordance with a second aspect of the present invention there is provided an aqueous boron-free detergent composition comprising an enzyme, a stabilising amount of an organic water-miscible solvent wherein the composition comprises between 5 to 65% of water with at least 70% of the remainder of the composition comprising a water soluble ionic salt.

The features which refer to the first aspect of the invention shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to the second aspect of the invention.

By boron-free it is meant that no form of boron, such as a borate salt is present in the composition.

We have found that an efficient storage stable detergent composition which contains an active enzyme component may be produced without the need for the presence of boron, such as borates which have previously been used as stabilising means for enzymes in detergent formulations. This enables the detergent formulation to comply with increasingly common national and international regulations which set maximum limits for the use of boron containing compounds on environmental grounds.

Without wishing to be bound by theory it is proposed that the high enzyme stability arises from the high ionic strength of the composition. The organic solvent also acts as an aid in the enzyme stability.

A composition in accordance with the second aspect of the invention, is surprisingly storage-stable even at low pH, such as a pH of around 7. This is in contrast to a previously used high pH of around 10 to ensure acceptable stability. Additionally, the composition has been found to provide adequate in-wash performance at lower dosage than would be expected for a liquid composition.

We have also found that high enzyme stability in water-based detergent compositions may be achieved by the use of a composition having a high conductivity, even in the absence of recognised stabilisation aids, such as borate. Thus in accordance with a third aspect of the present invention there is provided an aqueous boron-free detergent composition comprising an enzyme, wherein the composition has a conductivity of greater than 80 micro-siemens.

We have surprisingly found that an enzyme may be stabilised in aqueous solution by ensuring that the conductivity of the solution is greater than 80 micro-siemens. This high enzyme stability has been observed in the absence of the conventional boron-based enzyme stabilisers.

The features which refer to the first aspect invention shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to the third aspect of the invention.

A composition in accordance with the third aspect of the invention may contain a thickener as specified above. Hereby, an additional advantage of providing a composition having a conductivity of more than 80 micro-siemens is that the performance of the thickener when incorporated in the composition is not detrimentally effected.

Without wishing to be bound by theory it is proposed that the performance of the thickener is not detrimentally effected because of the relatively low amount of ionic salt required to achieve the desired conductivity. It is recognised that thickeners typically achieve their objective by having a plurality of pendant groups (normally anionically charged) which swell and 'trap' water molecules. Ordinarily a high amount of ionic salt detrimentally effects the operation of these pendant groups. However, with a composition of the third aspect of the present invention, as the concentration of ionic salt is low the performance of the thickener is largely unaffected (this also applies to compositions in accordance with the second aspect of the invention). This has been found to be especially important for compositions containing 'speckles'; it is most disadvantageous both from an aesthetic point of view and also a dosage point of view if the speckles are allowed to settle in storage. A composition with a satisfactory level of thickness aids the prevention of speckle settling.

Preferably the conductivity of the composition is greater than 90 micro-siemens, more preferably greater than 100 micro-siemens, more preferably greater than 120

microsiemens, more preferably greater than 150 microsiemens and most preferably greater than 200 micro-siemens.

To further enhance the enzyme stability a non-born containing enzyme stabiliser may be present. Preferably the stabiliser is a soluble calcium salt (as described with respect to the first aspect of the invention).

The invention is now illustrated with reference to the following non-limiting Examples.



## Examples

### Example 1

Protease (Properase supplied by Genecor) and amylase particles were made using a sugar core material mixed with the enzymes to produce prills. The prills were then coated with hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (alternatively polyvinylalcohol or mixtures of the two were used) which contained plasticiser (polyglycol or a nonionioc surfactant) and pigment dye (e.g.  $\text{TiO}_2$ ). The resulting particles were comprised of sugar (40-70%), enzyme (2-20%) film forming water-soluble material (2-10%), plasticiser (1-5%), pigment (0-10%) and dye (0-0.2%). Standard prill making and coating technologies provided by e.g. equipment as produced by Glatt were used to make the particles. The particles produced had a particle size wherein 80% of the particles had a diameter in the range 200-600  $\mu\text{m}$ .

A gel was made up having the following composition:

Component	Wt%
Dehardened Water	37.428
Sulphuric acid (50%) *	0.105
Dye	0.0025
Polyacrylic acid (thickener)	0.800
Sodium citrate	30.000
Potassium tripolyphosphate	30.000
Properase particle	1.080
Amylase particle	0.340
Perfume	0.300
	100.00

\* Sulphuric acid is added to water to facilitate the dispersion of the thickener. After dispersion of thickener all other ingredients are added.

The resultant detergent composition was stored in sealed glass containers in the dark for twelve weeks at 20°C or 35°C.

Table I shows the activity of the enzymes from the particles compared to enzyme activity of an enzyme solution stored under the same conditions.

Table I

Remaining Enzyme Activity After 12 Weeks			
Protease		Amylase	
Enzyme Particle		Enzyme Particle	
20°C	100%	20°C	100%
35°C	89.10%	35°C	96.40%
Enzyme Solution **		Enzyme Solution **	
20°C	99.40%	20°C	73.40%
35°C	77.20%	35°C	67.50%

\*\* enzyme solution is available as Purastar ST 15000 L (amylase) and Properase 1600 L (protease) both ex Genencor.

The formulation according to Example 1 shows improved stability of the enzymes when present in a composition in accordance with the inventions.

Example 2

The table shows a composition according to the invention.

Component	Wt%
Dehardened water	61.339
Monopropylene glycol	1.850
Sulphuric acid (30%)	0.100
Preservative	0.100
Polyacrylic acid (thickener)	1.250
Trisodium citrate	32.800
Sodium hydroxide	0.110
Calcium chloride	0.500
Amylase particles (Purastar Oxam)	0.500
Nonionic surfactant	0.200
Perfume	0.050
Protease liquid (Savinase)	0.650
Amylase liquid (Purastar 1500L)	0.550
Dye	0.001
	100.000

The gel composition has a conductivity of 90 microsiemens.

The formulation according to example 2 shows good stability of the enzyme (amylase) which is contained in the particles.

The detergent composition was stored in sealed glass containers in the dark for twelve weeks at 20°C or 35°C.

Table II shows the overall activity of the enzyme following storage.

Table II

Remaining Enzyme Activity After 12 Weeks			
Amylase		Protease	
20°C	100%	20°C	91%
30°C	92%	30°C	85%
40°C	82%	40°C	55%

Example 3

The detergent formulation in Example 2 (and also the same formulation without speckles) was tested in a dose of 4.5 g using a Bosch® dishwasher machine, type 5062, Universal cleaning programme 50°C, water hardness 9°dH, heavily soiled in accordance with the IKW method (IKW-Arbeitskreis Maschinenspülmittel, "Methoden zur Bestimmung der Reinigungsleistung von maschinellen Geschirrspülmitteln (Part A and B)", SÖFW, 11+14, 1998) and loaded as specified by the IKW method. The test samples used were standardised to comply with the IKW method and soiled with green tea, lipstick, rice, protein and burnt stains. The stained samples were placed in the dishwasher and washed under the conditions described above.

Three commercial available powder formulations (designated A, B, C) were also tested. 5g of these formulations was used.

Removal of the stains was then marked from visual observation in accordance with the IKW method on a scale of 0 (= unchanged very strong staining) to 10 (= no staining).

The results are set out in Table III below:

Table III

Stain	Composition				
	Ex 2 (without speckles)	Ex 2	A	B	C
Green Tea	7.2	7.4	5.5	7.4	7.9
Lipstick	4	3	4.4	5.3	4.7
Rice	5.14	7.3	4.4	7.2	7.4
Protein	1	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.7
Burnt Stain	5.8	5.8	3.1	3	6.5
AVERAGE	4.63	5.02	3.72	4.8	5.64

By comparing the columns of the table, it may be seen that the compositions in accordance with the invention produce very good results which are similar to or better than commercially available powder formulations.

This is surprising as usually a higher dosage of liquid detergent is needed to achieve similar results to those of a powder. Here not only are similar results achieved but also at 10% lower dosage than for powder formulations.

Example 4

The detergent formulation in Example 2 was tested as in Example 3. The test samples used were standardised to comply with the IKW method and soiled with tea, starch, rice, protein and burnt stains.

Three other phosphate based liquid detergent compositions were tested (designated A', B' and C'). The composition of A' and B' is given below:

Component	Wt%	
	A'	B'
Dehardened water	60.800	37.380
Potassium Tripolyphosphate	5.500	32.000
Sodium Tripolyphosphate	9.500	-
Sodium Silicate	15.000	-
Sodium Bicarbonate	0.100	-
Sodium Hypochlorite (12.5%)	4.900	-
Sulphuric acid (30%)	-	0.100
Polyacrylic acid (thickener)	1.200	0.800
Trisodium citrate	-	30.000
Potassium hydroxide (45%)	3.000	-
Perfume	-	0.300
Protease liquid	-	1.080
Amylase liquid	-	0.340
Dye	0.080	0.025
	100.00	100.000

Formulation C' is a commercially available phosphate based dishwasher detergent formulation.

Removal of the stains was then marked from visual observation in accordance with the IKW method.

The results are set out in Table IV below:

Table IV

Stain	Composition			
	Ex 2	A'	B'	C'
Tea	4.2	10	3.2	4.1
Starch	9.8	6.2	9.4	7.3
Protein	7.6	2.3	8.3	8.9
Burnt Stain	8.1	8	7.8	9.8
AVERAGE	7.43	6.63	7.18	7.53

By comparing the columns of the table, it may be seen that a composition in accordance with the invention produce very good results which are similar to or better than commercially available phosphate containing liquid formulations.

### CLAIMS

1. A detergent composition comprising an enzyme which is at least partially encapsulated within water-soluble particles in a gel, the particles comprising a water-soluble encapsulating agent, wherein the particles have a migration speed in the gel of less than one centimetre per month.
2. A composition according to claim one, wherein the migration speed of the particles is less than 0.7 cm per month.
3. A composition according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the migration speed of the particles is less than 0.4 cm per month.
4. A composition according to claim 1, 2 or 3, wherein the composition has a viscosity greater than 4,000 mPas, more preferably greater than 6,000 mPas and most preferably greater than 10,000 mPas.
5. A composition according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the gel contains a thickening agent.
6. A composition according to claim 5, wherein the thickening agent is polyacrylic acid.
7. A composition according to any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein the composition has a density of greater than  $1.1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , more preferably greater than  $1.2 \text{ g/cm}^3$  and most preferably greater than  $1.4 \text{ g/cm}^3$ .
8. A composition according to any one of claims 1 to 7, wherein the gel has a water content of from 5 to 65%, more preferably from 20 to 65% and most preferably from 35 to 65%.



9. A composition according to claim 8, wherein the non-aqueous portion of the composition has a salt content of at least 70%, more preferably at least 80% and more preferably at least 90%.

10. A composition according to claim 9, wherein the salt is a carboxylate or hydroxycarboxylate salt.

11. A composition according to claim 10, wherein the salt is a citrate salt.

12. A composition according to any one of claims 1 to 11, wherein the gel comprises from 0.05 to 5% enzyme.

13. A composition according to any one of claims 1 to 12, wherein the particles contain an enzyme such that the ratio of gel enzyme to particle enzyme is between 5:1 and 20:1.

14. A composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 13, wherein the enzymes is a protease and/or an amylase.

15. A composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 14, wherein an enzyme stabilising aid is present in the gel in an amount of from 0.05 to 20% (expressed as a percentage based upon the whole composition).

16. A composition in accordance with claim 15, wherein the stabilising aid is a water-miscible organic solvent.

17. A composition in accordance with claim 16, wherein the water-miscible organic solvent is propylene glycol.

18. A composition in accordance with claim 15, wherein the stabilising aid is a soluble calcium salt.

19. A composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 14, wherein the particles comprise a stabilising aid in an amount of from 40 to 70% of the weight of the particles.
20. A composition in accordance with claim 19, wherein the stabilising aid is a sugar and/or a starch.
21. A composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 20, wherein the gel and the particles have a difference in density of no greater than  $0.9 \text{ g / cm}^3$ , more preferably no greater than  $0.6 \text{ g / cm}^3$  and most preferably no greater than  $0.3 \text{ g / cm}^3$ .
22. A composition in accordance with claim 21, wherein the particles contain a density aid.
23. A composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 22, wherein the particles contain a dye / a pigment.
24. A composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 23, wherein more than 80% of the particles have a particle size from 50 to 1,000 micrometres, more preferably from 200 to 800 micrometres and most preferably from 400 to 700 micrometres.
25. A composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 24 for use in dishwashing and / or laundry applications.
26. An aqueous boron-free detergent composition comprising an enzyme, a stabilising amount of an organic water-miscible solvent, wherein the composition comprises between 5 to 60% of water with at least 70% of the remainder of the composition comprising a water soluble ionic salt.
27. An aqueous boron-free detergent composition comprising an enzyme, wherein the composition has a conductivity of greater than 80 microsiemens.

28. A composition in accordance with either claim 26 or claim 27 and further in combination with any one of claims 1 to 25.

29. A method of dishwashing and / or laundry comprising the use of a detergent composition in accordance with any one of claims 1 to 28.

Abstract

The present invention comprises a detergent composition comprising an enzyme.  
The enzyme is stabilised by a stabilisation means.

h:patents\shared\patspec\gb\11050gb2

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☒ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**